

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

N. L., et al.

Plaintiff(s)

v.

The State of California, et al.

Defendant(s)

CASE No C 17-06242-HSG

STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~  
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5. The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

- ☐ **Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)** (ADR L.R. 5)
- ☐ **Mediation** (ADR L.R. 6)
- ☐ **Private ADR** (specify process and provider)

*Note: Magistrate judges do not conduct mediations under ADR L.R. 6. To request an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge, you must file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. Do not use this form. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5.*

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- ☐ the presumptive deadline (90 days from the date of the order referring the case to ADR, unless otherwise ordered. )
- ☐ other requested deadline: 120 days from date of order

Date: 2/21/18

/s/ Roy E. Huntsman

Attorney for Plaintiff

Date: 2/21/18

/s/ Jeremy J. Schroeder

Attorney for Defendant

- ☒ IT IS SO ORDERED
- ☐ IT IS SO ORDERED WITH MODIFICATIONS:

Date: 2/22/2018

  
U.S. DISTRICT ~~MAGISTRATE~~ JUDGE

**Important!** E-file this form in ECF using the appropriate event among these choices: "Stipulation & Proposed Order Selecting Mediation" or "Stipulation & Proposed Order Selecting ENE" or "Stipulation & Proposed Order Selecting Private ADR."